



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
441 G STREET, NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20314-1000

CECW-P

JUL 26 2018

MEMORANDUM FOR SEE DISTRIBUTION

SUBJECT: Revised Implementation Guidance for Section 1001 of the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (WRRDA 2014), Vertical Integration and Acceleration of Studies

1. Revisions to the existing Section 1001 of WRRDA 2014 were completed, and the revised guidance signed on 19 July 2018. The implementation guidance is posted in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers website: <http://www.usace.army.mil/Missions/Civil-Works/Project-Planning/Legislative-Links/>.
2. Questions regarding execution of this implementation guidance shall be directed to Lisa Kiefel, Planning and Policy Division at, (202) 761-0626 or Lisa.D.Kiefel@usace.army.mil.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "J. Dalton", is positioned above the name of the signatory.

JAMES C. DALTON, P.E.
Director of Civil Works

DISTRIBUTION:
COMMANDERS, REGIONAL BUSINESS AND PROGRAMS DIRECTORS,
GREAT LAKES AND OHIO RIVER DIVISION (CELRD)
MISSISSIPPI VALLEY DIVISION (CEMVD)
NORTH ATLANTIC DIVISION (GENAD)
NORTHWESTERN DIVISION (CENWD)
PACIFIC OCEAN DIVISION (CEPOD)
SOUTH ATLANTIC DIVISION (CESAD)
SOUTH PACIFIC DIVISION (CESPD)
SOUTHWESTERN DIVISION (CESWD)



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY
CIVIL WORKS
108 ARMY PENTAGON
WASHINGTON, DC 20310-0108

JUL 19 2015

MEMORANDUM FOR COMMANDING GENERAL U.S. ARMY CORPS OF
ENGINEERS

SUBJECT: Implementation Guidance for Section 1001 of the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (WRRDA 2014), Vertical Integration and Acceleration of Studies (Revised)

1. Section 1001 of WRRDA 2014 (Public Law 113-121) provides that, to the extent practicable, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) final feasibility reports will be completed in three years and will have a maximum federal cost of \$3 million and that USACE district, division and headquarters review will be concurrent. Section 1001 provides further that the Secretary of the Army may extend the timeline or approve federal costs greater than \$3 million, subject to notification of the non-federal sponsor and the Senate Committee on Environment and Public Works and the House of Representatives Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure (Committees). Section 1001 also provides that the authorization for a particular feasibility study terminates if the study is not completed within certain timeframes. Section 1001 of WRRDA 2014 is enclosed.
2. This guidance supersedes the implementation guidance for Section 1001, dated April 9, 2015.
3. This implementation guidance applies to all feasibility studies of proposed water resources development projects that are initiated after June 10, 2014, except for feasibility studies conducted under the Continuing Authorities Program.
4. To the extent practicable, feasibility studies will be completed within three years. In calculating the duration of the feasibility study, the study is initiated when the Feasibility Cost Sharing Agreement (FCSA) is executed or, for those feasibility studies for which a FCSA is not required, when federal funding is allocated to initiate the study, and ends with the signing of the decision document (e.g., Chief's Report or Director's Report) or other document as appropriate.
5. Section 1001 provides that if a study will not be completed within three years, the ASA(CW) may approve a total of up to four additional years to complete the study, as long as the study duration does not exceed seven years total. Exemptions to the three year base study period may be requested in any increment, up to the four year limit as mandated in WRRDA 2014. If the study is not completed as of the last day of the

SUBJECT: Implementation Guidance for Section 1001 of the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (WRRDA 2014), Vertical Integration and Acceleration of Studies (Revised)

approved timeline, the study is deauthorized.

6. Section 1001 provides that, to the extent practicable, feasibility studies will have a maximum federal cost of \$3 million. Authorizing a federal study cost in excess of \$3 million requires approval and a determination by the ASA(CW) that the increased amount is necessary based on the complexity of the study. While not included in shared study costs, the federal costs of audits, participation in the study coordination team, and the federally-funded independent external peer review panel are included in calculating the federal cost of the study.

a. While Section 1001 provides that, in general, the maximum federal cost for a feasibility study is \$3 million, as a matter of policy, the Corps will continue to follow the 8 February 2012 DCG-CEO memorandum, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Civil Works Feasibility Study Program Execution and Delivery. As provided in this memorandum, the total study cost (i.e., the study costs shared by the Corps and the non-federal sponsor) generally is limited to \$3 million, with the federal cost limited to \$1.5 million. However, the DCG-CEO is authorized to approve an increase of the total study cost, including approving an increase of the federal cost from \$1.5 million federal to up to \$3 million federal. Further, no separate approval is required to increase the cost of a 100% federally funded study up to \$3 million. The DCG-CEO must promptly notify the DASA(M&B) in writing when a cost increase is approved. Additionally, an updated project compliance memo must be provided to the DASA(M&B) within 90 days of approval of a cost increase for a project.

7. While the Assistant Secretary of the Army for Civil Works (ASA(CW)) approval of a timeline exemption or approval of more than \$3 million in federal funds is not required in advance of budgeting for additional work, ASA(CW) approval must be granted prior to the allocation of funds for the work covered by those approvals. The Deputy Commanding General for Civil and Emergency Operations (DCG-CEO) will promptly notify the Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army for Civil Works, Management and Budget (DASA(M&B)) in writing when decisions are made to initiate budgeting for feasibility studies in excess of \$1.5 million.

8. In determining whether the study is too complex to be completed within three years and/or the \$3 million federal study cost limit, the ASA(CW) will consider the following:

- a. The type, size, location, scope, and overall cost of the project;
- b. Whether the project will use any innovative design or construction techniques;
- c. Whether the project will require significant action by other federal, state, or local agencies;
- d. Whether there is significant public dispute as to the nature or effects of the

SUBJECT: Implementation Guidance for Section 1001 of the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (WRRDA 2014), Vertical Integration and Acceleration of Studies (Revised)

project;

e. Whether there is significant public dispute as to the economic or environmental costs or benefits of the project; and

f. Other relevant issues.

9. Any exemption to the three-year study duration, or approval of a federal study cost in excess of \$3 million, requires the following actions:

a. The Corps will prepare an updated feasibility study schedule and cost estimate.

b. The District Commander will notify, discuss with, and obtain the non-Federal sponsor's concurrence of any change in completion of, or cost increase in the study. The District Commander will provide the updated feasibility study schedule and cost estimate to the non-Federal sponsor; and

b. The ASA(CW) will decide written notice to the Committees of exemptions to the time to complete the study or approvals of federal study costs in excess of \$3 million. The Corps is responsible for providing to the Office of the ASA(CW) draft Committee notification letters, including identification of the specific factor(s) if a study is too complex to be completed within four years or within the \$3 million federal cost limit.

10. Any feasibility study that has been initiated since WRRDA 2014 and that is not completed within the approved time frames set out in this memorandum, including exemptions approved by the ASA(CW), is no longer authorized, and the study will be terminated. In the case of a study undertaken under a general authority, such as Section 216 of the Flood Control Act of 1970, the particular study will be terminated but the general study authority is not affected.

11. Within the 90 days after execution of the FCSA for a feasibility study, or, if an agreement is not required, the date the district receives an allocation of funds to initiate a study, the Project Delivery Team will:

a. Provide a pre-coordinated schedule to the non-Federal sponsor via certified mail, as per the implementation guidance for Section 1002 of WRRDA 2014 dated 9 April 2015. All study schedules developed will ensure that personnel from the district, division, and headquarters levels concurrently conduct reviews.

b. Initiate the process for completing the federally mandated study, including environmental compliance, to include the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), discussed under Section 1005 (Project Acceleration) of WRRDA 2014.

c. Convene a meeting of all federal, tribal, and state agencies that have jurisdiction

SUBJECT: Implementation Guidance for Section 1001 of the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (WRRDA 2014), Vertical Integration and Acceleration of Studies (Revised)


over the project, or that may be required by law to conduct or issue a review, analysis, or opinion on or to make a determination concerning a permit or license for the study in accordance with the implementation guidance for Section 2045(e) of WRDA 2007 dated 6 April 2012; and

d. Take all steps necessary to provide information that will enable required reviews and analyses related to the project to be conducted by other agencies in a thorough and timely manner.

12. This guidance is effective immediately and will be incorporated into the Planning Guidance Notebook (ER 1105-2-100) upon the next revision.

13. Questions regarding this implementation guidance may be directed to Gib Owen, Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army for Civil Works at gib.a.owen.civ@mail.mil or 703-695-4641. Technical questions may be directed to Lisa Kiefel at lisa.d.kiefel@usace.army.mil or 202-761-0626.

Encl


R. D. JAMES
Assistant Secretary of the Army
(Civil Works)

WRRDA 2014 LANGUAGE: SEC. 1001. VERTICAL INTEGRATION AND ACCELERATION OF STUDIES.

33 U.S. Code §2282c

(a) In General. To the extent practicable, a feasibility study initiated by the Secretary, after June 10, 2014, under section 905(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.,C. 2282(a)) shall

(1) result in the completion of a final feasibility report not later than 3 years after the date of initiation;

(2) have a maximum Federal cost of \$3,000,000; and

(3) ensure that personnel from the district, division, and headquarters levels of the Corps of Engineers concurrently conduct the review required under that section.

(a) Extension. If the Secretary determines that a feasibility study described in subsection (a) will not be conducted in accordance with subsection (a), the Secretary, not later than 30 days after the date of making the determination, shall

(1) prepare an updated feasibility study schedule and cost estimate;

(2) notify the non-Federal feasibility cost-sharing partner that the feasibility study has been delayed; and

(3) provide written notice to the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives as to the reasons the requirements of subsection (a) are not attainable.

(b) Termination of Authorization. A feasibility study for which the Secretary has issued a determination under subsection (b) is not authorized after the last day of the 1- year period beginning on the date of the determination if the Secretary has not completed the study on or before such last day.

(c) Exception.

(1) In general. Notwithstanding the requirements of subsection (c), the Secretary may extend the timeline of a study by a period not to exceed 3 years, if the Secretary determines that the feasibility study is too complex to comply with the requirements of subsections (a) and (c).

(2) Factors. In making a determination that a study is too complex to comply with the requirements of subsections (a) and (c), the Secretary shall consider--

(A) the type, size, location, scope, and overall cost of the project;

(B) whether the project will use any innovative design or construction techniques;

(C) whether the project will require significant action by other Federal, State, or local agencies;

(D) whether there is significant public dispute as to the nature or effects of the project; and

(E) whether there is significant public dispute as to the economic or environmental costs or benefits of the project.

(3) Notification. Each time the Secretary makes a determination under this subsection, the Secretary shall provide written notice to the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives as to the results of that determination, including an identification of the specific 1 or more factors used in making the determination that the project is complex.

(4) Limitation. The Secretary shall not extend the timeline for a feasibility study for a

period of more than 7 years, and any feasibility study that is not completed before that date shall no longer be authorized.

(d) Reviews. Not later than 90 days after the date of the initiation of a study described in subsection (a) for a project, the Secretary shall

(1) take all steps necessary to initiate the process for completing federally mandated reviews that the Secretary is required to complete as part of the study, including the environmental review process under section 1005;

(2) convene a meeting of all Federal, tribal, and State agencies identified under section 2045(e) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2348(e)) that may be required by law to conduct or issue a review, analysis, or opinion on or to make a determination concerning a permit or license for the study; and

(3) take all steps necessary to provide information that will enable required reviews and analyses related to the project to be conducted by other agencies in a thorough and timely manner.

(f) Interim Report. Not later than 18 months after June 10, 2014, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and make publicly available a report that describes

(1) the status of the implementation of the planning process under this section, including the number of participating projects;

(2) a review of project delivery schedules, including a description of any delays on those studies participating in the planning process under this section; and

(3) any recommendations for additional authority necessary to support efforts to expedite the feasibility study process for water resource projects.

(g) Final Report.--Not later than 4 years after June 10, 2014, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and make publicly available a report that describes

(1) the status of the implementation of this section, including a description of each feasibility study subject to the requirements of this section;

(2) the amount of time taken to complete each feasibility study; and

(3) any recommendations for additional authority necessary to support efforts to expedite the feasibility study process, including an analysis of whether the limitation established by subsection (a)(2) needs to be adjusted to address the impacts of inflation.